CALCULATION OF HEAT OF FORMATION :- MOLECULAR CONNECTIVITY AND ICC-(1) TECHNIQUE, A COMPARATIVE STUDY

V.K.SINGH, V.P.TEWARI, D.K.GUPTA and A.K. SRIVASTAVA*

Chemistry Department, Allahabad University, Allahabad-211002 (India)

(Received in UK 21 February 1984)

Abstract - The connectivity index has been used to evaluate the \mathcal{H} -bond energy of alternant and non-alternant hydrocarbons. A new varient of IOC- ω technique has also been used for the evaluation of \mathcal{H} -bond energy. These methods have now been used to evaluate heats of formation for both types of molecules. A comparative study reveals that the connectivity index based values are generally in better agreement with the experimental values than those obtained with the proposed new varient of the IOC- ω technique.

MOLECULAR CONNECTIVITY

Randic's molecular connectivity index represents the degree of branching or connectivity in a molecule and is derived from the vertex degrees or connectivity in the molecule $^{1-3}$. Kier et al. have shows that the connecvity index can be correlated with several physicochemical and biological properties of the molecules 3 . The index X has several versions. The simplest, as well as the extended versions ($^\infty X$) are calculated from a hydrogen-suppressed graph of molecule. The simplest version, designated as $^1 X$ and known as first-order term in X, is computed by formula $^{1-3}$

$${}^{1}\chi = \sum (\delta_{1} \delta_{1})^{\frac{-1}{2}} \qquad \dots \qquad (1)$$

where the sum is over all connections or edges in the hydrogen-suppressed graph and δ_i and δ_j are numbers assigned to each atom reflecting the number of atoms adjacent (connected) to atoms i and j. The nature of the atom is not considered in the calculation.

To account for the nature and unsaturation of the bonds in χ , Rier and Hall^{3,4} proposed the valence molecular connectivity (χ^v) where the atom connectivity is defined as

$$\delta i^{\mathbf{v}} = z_{i}^{\mathbf{v}} - h_{i} \qquad \qquad \dots \qquad (2)$$

in which z_1^v represents the number of valence electrons of the atom and h_1 is the number of hydrogen atoms attached to it. Thus the use of δ^v permits the calculation of valence term of first order χ^v by expression -

$${}^{1}X^{\mathbf{v}} = \sum \left(\delta_{1}^{\mathbf{v}} \delta_{1}^{\mathbf{v}} \right)^{\frac{1}{-2}} \qquad \dots \tag{3}$$

Combining the equations (6) and (7) with (10) we get the following expression for the total π -electronic energy

$$E_{ff} = \sum_{r} q_{pq}(-\omega s) \sum_{r} \sum_{r \neq j} P_{rj} + 2C \sum_{r \neq s} \frac{P_{rs}}{(1.512 - 0.174)} \cdots (11)$$

Now consider the energy liberated when 'n' carbon atoms with P-electrons combine to form π -bonds i.e. energy $E_{\pi b}$. The π -electronic energy of a molecule is given by the algebraic sum of the energy of electrons in p-orbitals of widely separated carbon atoms and the π -bond energy ($E_{\pi b}$). The former may be equated to π -bond energy. Hence the remaining terms give π -bond energy. For alternant hydrocarbons we have

$$E_{nb} = -\omega s \sum_{r} \sum_{r \neq j} P_{rj} + 2c \sum_{r} \sum_{s(1.512-0.174)} P_{rs} e^{-6} \qquad ... (12)$$

THE BOND ENERGY OF NON-ALTERNANT HYDROCARBONS

However, for non-alternant hydrocarbons the expression for $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{T}}$ assumes the following form :

$$E_{\pi} = \sum q_{\tau} \propto -\omega s \sum_{r} \sum_{r} q_{\tau} P_{rj} + 2C \sum_{r} \sum_{s} \frac{P_{rs}}{(1.512-0.174 P_{rs})^6} + \omega \sum_{r} q_{\tau} (1-q_{\tau})$$
 ...(13)

and hence

$$E_{\Pi_{b}} = -\omega_{S} \sum_{r} \sum_{r\neq j} q_{r} P_{rj} + 2c \sum_{r} \sum_{s} \frac{P_{rs}}{(1.512 - 0.174 P_{rs})^{6}} + \omega_{rs} \sum_{r} q_{r} (1 - q_{r}) \qquad ...(14)$$

CALCULATION OF HEAT OF FORMATION OF ALTERNANT AND NON-ALTERNANT HYDROCARBONS

The heat of formation of a molecule in its equilibrium configuration is the additive sum of the bond energies. The total bond energy of a molecules is given by the sum of the total σ -bond energy, $\mathbf{E}_{\sigma b}$, and the total π -bond energy $\mathbf{E}_{\pi b}$. The following expression provides a simple route to calculate heats of formation of hydrocarbons.

$$\Delta H_f = E_{\sigma b} + E_{\pi b} \qquad \dots (15)$$

If E_C_C and E_C_H be the bond energies of C_C and C_H bonds respectively, the expression for E_G_b is

$$E_{Gb} = N_{C}E_{C-C} + N_{H}E_{C-H}$$
 ...(16)

therefore,

$$\Delta H_{f} = N_{C} E_{C-C} + N_{H} E_{C-H} + E_{f/D} b \qquad ...(17)$$

where $N_{\rm C}$ and $N_{\rm H}$ are numbers of C-C and C-H bonds respectively. By using expression (17) along with equation (4), (5), (12) and (14) one can evaluate the heat of formation of alternant and non-alternant hydrocarbons.

PARAMETERS USED

For calculating 7T-bond energy for alternant hydrocarbons with the help of equation (12) ω has been assigned a value 2.79152, S has been taken as 0.25 and C has been taken as 9.875, whereas for non-alternant hydrocarbons using equation (14) the values of ω , S and C are 1.4, 0.25 and 11.637 respectively.

For calculating heat of formation with equation (17) the value of E_{C-C} and E_{C-H} have been assigned as 3.80 eV and 4.43 eV respectively as proposed by Dewar and Gleicher¹⁰.

The details of calculations of this term and other higher terms in χ can be found in Ref.3.

The electronic interaction depends upon the bonding of atoms in a molecule, hence it becomes abvious that the binding energy of the electron must be in some way related with χ .

In this communication it is proposed that the π -bond energy can be evaluated on the basis of connectivity index. For alternant hydrocarbons the following equation has been used :-

$$E_{\pi h} = 3.9298^{1} \times^{V} + 0.0523$$
 (4)

and for non-alternant hydrocarbons

$$\mathbf{E}_{Th} = 4.3279^{1} \chi^{V} - 1.7666$$
 (5)

The correlations can be used to predict the $\gamma \tau$ -bond energy of conjugated systems.

IOC -W TECHNIQUE

Gupta and Krishna⁵⁻⁸ in a series of papers have proposed a remarkable improvement over the G-technique by including the so far neglected overlap charge. According to Harris⁹, if overlap is included and the net charge on atom r is taken as that resulting when all overlap charges are divided equally among the atoms involved, one obtains:

$$H_{rr} = \propto +\omega \left[1 - \sum_{i} (P_{rj} s_{rj} + P_{jr} s_{jr})\right] \qquad \qquad (6)$$

In this communication for Hrs we have used

$$H_{rs} = c/(1.512-0.174 P_{rs})^6$$
 (7)

where 'C' is some arbitrary constant.

TT - BOND ENERGIES OF ALTERNANT HYDROCARBONS

The total \mathcal{T} -bond energy, $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{b}}}$ of a molecule is defined as the energy required when 'n' isolated atoms each with a \mathcal{T} -electron combine to form \mathcal{T} -bonds. In the SCF-MO theory this \mathcal{T} -bond energy is given by

$$E_{\pi_b}$$
 = (Total molecular orbital energy) - (total internal electronic energy) - (Total energy of π -electrons in widely separated carbon atoms) (8)

(Since the total molecular orbital energy includes twice of the total interelectronic repulsion). If the molecule is neutral and the atoms in it are moreom less neutral, the coulomb repulsion of the cores of atoms should be more or less balanced by the coulomb repulsion of the electrons attached to those cores. Since in the ground state the molecules as well as the atoms are supposed to be neutral, the total π -bond energy may be taken as

 \mathbf{E}_{π_b} = (Total molecular orbital energy) - (Total energy of π -electrons in widely separated carbon atoms) (9)

In molecular orbital theory, the π -electronic energy of a molecule is given by $\mathbf{E}_{\pi} = \text{Total molecular orbital energy}$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\pi}$$
 = Total molecular orbital energy
= $\sum_{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}}$ (10)

Table 1. Calculated and observed heat of formation of alternant hydrocarbons

Compounds V	alue of Chi 1XV	π -Bond Energy (E π_b) (in eV)		Heat of formation (H _f) with equation (17) in (eV)		Experi- mental values
		Bquation (12) (ΙΟΟ-ω) Technique)	Equation (4)(connectivity index)	Using E ₇ obtained through equation (12)(IOC- \to tech- que)	through equation	of heat of for- mation (eV)
Benzene	2.000	8.107	7.909	57.487	57.289	57.16
Naphthalene	3.405	13.193	13.429	90.433	90.669	90.61
Anthracene	4.810	18.132	18.949	123.232	124.049	123.93
Stillbene	4.732	18,277	18.643	128.437	128.803	128.48
Pyr en e	5.560	20,906	21.896	137.406	138.396	138.88
Naphthacene	6.215	23.166	24.469	156,126	157.429	157.56
Triphenylene	6.232	25,659	24.536	158,619	157.496	157.76
Perylene	6.976	26.061	27.456	170.421	171.819	172.04
Picene	7.637	29.821	30.056	189.641	190.876	_
Coronene	8.464	31.581	33,305	198.741	200.465	-
Average deviation				0.828	0.216	

Table 2. Calculated and observed heat of formation of non-alternant hydrocarbons

Compounds V	Value of	π -bond energy (ϵ_{π_b}) (in eV)		Heat of formation with eq.(17)(H _f) (in eV)		Experi- mental values
		Equation	Equation	Using E 7	Tb Using E/T	-of bheat of
		(14) (IOC-W(5)(connecti-technique) vity index) threeque(14)			otained obtained format wrough through quation equation (4)(ICC-U)(5) (connection technique)tivity index)	
Methylene						
cyclopropen		5.796	3,703	39.716	36.623	-
Fulvene	1.931	8.921	6.590	58.201	55.970	-
Pentalene 6-Vinyl-	2.738	11.400	10.083	72.180	70.863	-
f u lvene	2.608	11.969	9.520	77.809	75.360	-
Azulene Sesqui-	3.405	15.400	12,969	92.640	90.209	89.19
fulvalene Acenaph-	4.072	19,331	15.856	112.031	109.556	-
thylene Hepta-	4.149	19.573	16.189	108.213	104.829	104.32
fulvalene Hepta-	4.738	21.385	18.738	131.545	128.998	-
fulvadiene	5.399	24.347	21.599	150.967	148.219	_
Fluoranthene Average		25.956	22.3181	142.454	138.818	138.11
deviation				3.895	0.745	

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table (1) lists the values of TT-bond energy for alternant hydrocarbons as calculated on the basis of equation (4) and equation (12), also listed are the heat of formation using these values.

Table (2) contains the values of π -bond energy for non-alternant hydrocarbons as calculated on the basis of equation (5) and (14) and also listed are the values of heat of formation usingthese values.

On a comparison of the heat of formation values in table(1)it seems that the values obtained on the basis of connectivity index (Average deviation 0.216) are generally in better agreement with the experimental values as compared with those obtained on the basis of the proposed new varient of $IOC-\omega$ technique (Average deviation 0,828). In table 2 also the Average deviation for connectivity method (0.745) is found to be much better than that for $100-\omega$ technique (3.895). It may be concluded that the connectivity index can be successfully used as a parameter for such calculations.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Randic, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 6609 (1975).
- 2. L.B.Kier, L.H.Hall, W.J.Murray, and M. Randic, J.Pharm.Sci., 64,1971(1975).
- L. B. Kier and L. H. Hall, "Molecular Connectivity in Chemistry and Drug 3. Research", Academic Press, New York (1976).
- 4. L.B.Kier and L.H.Hall, J. Pharm. Sci., 65, 1806 (1976).
- 5. B. Krishna, and S.F.Gupta, J.Am.Chem. Soc., 92, 7247 (1970).
- 6.
- 7.
- B. Krishna and S.P.Gupta, J. Chem. Soc., A. 123 (1971).
 B. Krishna and S.P.Gupta, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 57 (1972).
 B. Krishna and S.P.Gupta, Indian J. Pure & Appl. Phys., 9, 347 (1971).
 F.E. Harris, J. Chem. Phys., 48, 4027 (1968). 8.
- 9.
- M.J.S. Dewar and G.J. Gleicher, J. Chem. Phys., 44, 759 (1966). 1c.